## Efficient stabilization of copper(III) in tetraaza pseudo-macrocyclic oxime-and-hydrazide ligands with adjustable cavity size{

Igor O. Fritsky,\*<sup>a</sup> Henryk Kozłowski,\*<sup>b</sup> Olga M. Kanderal,<sup>a</sup> Matti Haukka,<sup>c</sup> Jolanta Świątek-Kozłowska,<sup>d</sup> Elżbieta Gumienna-Kontecka<sup>b</sup> and Franc Meyer<sup>e</sup>

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 9th June 2006, Accepted 26th July 2006 First published as an Advance Article on the web 22nd August 2006 DOI: 10.1039/b608236j

Substitution of the amide donors in open-chain {2N(oxime), 2N(amide)} ligands by hydrazide donors gives new pseudomacrocyclic copper complexes that show a significant decrease of the  $Cu^{3+/2+}$  redox potentials in both mono- and polynuclear systems, thus demonstrating a pronounced capacity of such ligand systems to efficiently stabilize the trivalent copper.

Biomimetic inorganic chemistry, which largely involves the synthesis and detailed structural and electrostatic characterization of model molecules, has contributed significantly to the elucidation of the structures and functions of native metalloenzymes.<sup>1</sup> Although the +3 oxidation state of copper is generally considered to be inaccessible in biology, there have been many biomimetic high-valent complexes studied in order to provide insights into the catalytic mechanism of action of copper monooxygenases and multicopper oxidases. $2-5$ 

The ability of deprotonated hydrazide groups to stabilize trivalent copper is generally known and documented.<sup>6–9</sup>  $Cu^{3+}$ complexes of hydrazide ligands may be very stable and form even in the presence of aerial oxygen from the corresponding  $Cu^{2+}$ species.<sup>6–9</sup> However, close examination of the literature revealed that hydrazide groups have not been used together with other strong  $\sigma$ -donor groups in ligands with mixed donor sets, with the exception of those reported in our paper published in  $1998$ ,<sup>6</sup> although such a combination should be particularly promising for the stabilization of high-valent metal ions. Also, up to now no direct electrochemical measurements revealing the ''net'' contribution of the hydrazide nitrogen donors to the effect of stabilizating Cu3+ have been performed. Moreover, no experimental comparison of the effects of deprotonated amide and hydrazide groups on the stabilization of 3d metals in high oxidation states has been reported in the literature.

In 1999 Krüger et al.<sup>10</sup> reported some mononuclear  $Cu^{2+}$  and  $Cu<sup>3+</sup>$  complexes with tetradentate open-chain ligands providing a

Fax: +380 44 2393393; Tel: +380 44 2393393

{ Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental details and characterisations. See DOI: 10.1039/b608236j Scheme 1

{2N(amide), 2N(oxime} donor set which appeared to be quite efficient in stabilizing trivalent copper. The observed  $Cu^{3+/2+}$  redox potentials were found to be relatively low (0.31 V vs. the SCE redox couple in acetonitrile), and the corresponding  $Cu<sup>3+</sup>$ compounds have been prepared and isolated both by chemical and electrochemical oxidation.10 Recently, we reported a different ligand family of tetradentate open-chain ligands with the same {2N(amide), 2N(oxime} donor set (Scheme 1), which also indicated a pronounced capacity to efficiently stabilize the  $Cu<sup>3+</sup>$ and  $Ni^{3+}$  species.<sup>11</sup> The measured  $Cu^{3+/2+}$  redox potentials of the complex PPh<sub>4</sub>[Cu(PAP<sub>-3H</sub>)] $\cdot$ 4.5H<sub>2</sub>O (1) (0.485 V vs. the Ag/AgCl redox couple in acetonitrile) appeared to be close to those reported by Krüger.<sup>10</sup> In further search of donor sets inducing even more efficient stabilization of trivalent copper we have launched a study of ligands with related {2N(hydrazide), 2N(oxime)} donor sets, anticipating that they would give rise to exceptionally stable  $Cu<sup>3+</sup>$ complexes (Scheme 1).

The copper(II) complexes,  $Li_2[Cu({\bf fpoh}_{-3H})]_2·H_2O·CH_2O$  (2a) and  $PPh_4[Cu({\bf fpoh}_{-3H})] \cdot H_2O$  (2b), with a ligand belonging to the new family have been prepared by template condensation of the anionic copper(II) complex of 2-hydroxyiminopropanhydrazide  ${C}$ H<sub>3</sub>C(=NOH)C(=O)NHNH<sub>2</sub>, poh<sup>2</sup>,  ${C}$   $[Cu(poh_{-2H})(poh_{-H})]$ , with formaldehyde in aqueous media. Reaction of 2a with 2 equiv. of sodium peroxodisulfate in aqueous solution was accompanied by a colour change from dark-brown to black. Subsequent extraction of the reaction mixture with chloroform followed by solvent removal gave a black powder indentified as  $Cu^{III}$ (fpoh<sub>-3H</sub>) $·$ H<sub>2</sub>O (3a) which upon recrystallization from CHCl<sub>3</sub>/DMSO/acetone produced crystals of  $\left[\mathrm{Cu}^{\mathrm{III}}(\text{fpoh}_{-3H})(\text{DMSO})\right]$  (3b) containing neutral molecules of the  $Cu^{3+}$  complex. 2a,b and 3a,b are airstable in the solid state as well as in non-aqueous solutions, however, the copper(III) complexes are decomposed in aqueous solution within several hours. Interestingly, the reaction of 1 with peroxodisulfate in aqueous media, as well as with ammoniumcerium(IV) nitrate in methanol or acetonitrile, was also accompanied by a significant colour change suggesting oxidation of the copper(II) species. However, attempts to isolate the corresponding



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, National Taras Shevchenko University, 01033, Kiev, Ukraine. E-mail: ifritsky@univ.kiev.ua;

 ${}^{b}$ Faculty of Chemistry, University of Wroc ław, 14, F. Joliot-Curie Str., 50383, Wroc ław, Poland. E-mail: henrykoz@wchuwr.chem.uni.wroc.pl; Fax: +48 71 3757251; Tel: +48 71 3757251

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Joensuu, P.O. Box 111, 80101, Joensuu, Finland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> Department of Basic Medical Sciences, Wroc ław Medical University, 14, Kochanowskiego, 50383, Wroc ław, Poland

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup>Institut für Anorganische Chemie, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen, Tammannstrasse 4, D-37077, Göttingen, Germany

Cu3+ complexes were not successful, presumably due to a short life time of the oxidized species. 3a and 3b are EPR-silent and diamagnetic and give good quality <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra without any signal broadening and without paramagnetic shifts, which is consistent with the presence of low-spin  $Cu^{3+}$ .

1, 2a, and 2b exhibit similar UV-VIS and EPR-spectral parameters typical for CuN4 coordination. Analysis of a variety of spectral results obtained for 1, 2a, and 3b allows the conclusion that in all cases the ligands are coordinated in a tetradentate mode via the nitrogen atoms, which was also confirmed by X-ray crystallography of all three complexes.{

Comparison of the crystallographic results for 2a (Fig. S1<sup>†</sup>) and 3b (Fig. 1) revealed the same coordination mode of the ligand and very similar overall coordination spheres, but also significant differences in geometrical and conformational parameters, as expected for the presence of central atoms in different oxidation states. In both complexes the triply deprotonated ligands bind tetradentately via the nitrogen atoms of the deprotonated hydrazide and semideprotonated oxime groups. One of the latter, bearing the hydrogen atom, forms an intermolecular H-bond typical of oximate complexes, thus closing the ligand framework into the pseudomacrocyclic conformation. The Cu–N distances in 2a and 3b (Table 1) are noticeably different 1.899(3)–1.969(3) Å for  $2a$  and 1.888(2)–  $1.924(2)$  Å for 3b which reflects the different ionic radii of bi- and trivalent copper.<sup>12</sup> The O…O separations between the oxime oxygen atoms are also significantly different 2.667(1) and 2.623(1)  $\AA$ for 2a and 2.487(4)  $\AA$  for 3b which is a consequence of the different Cu–N distances, so that the pseudomacrocylic cavity in 3b seems to be more compressed. As expected, in 3b the angular distortions of the coordination sphere are less pronounced than in 2a.

XPS spectral measurements allowed the unambiguous confirmation of the presence of trivalent copper in 3a (Fig. S4{). The binding energy Cu  $2p_{3/2}$  (934.7 eV) is some 0.95 eV higher than that observed in the spectra of 2a and 2b (933.85 eV) (Fig. S5, Table S2 $\dagger$ ). This difference is typical for corresponding  $Cu^{3+}$  and  $Cu^{2+}$  species and is in agreement with the literature data.<sup>13,14</sup> Besides this, a distinguishing feature of the spectra of complexes 2a and 2b is the presence of the ''satellite peaks'', characteristic of copper(II) compounds (Fig. S5{).



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of complexes 3b (upper) and 5 (bottom). The atoms labeled "a" are at  $(-x, -y + 1, -z + 1)$  position.

**Table 1** Selected bond lengths  $[\hat{A}]$  and angles  $[\hat{A}]$  in PPh<sub>4</sub>[Cu(PAP<sub>-3H</sub>)]<sup>1</sup> 4,5H<sub>2</sub>O (1),  $\left[\text{Cu(fpoh}_{-3H})\cdot\text{DMSO}\right]$  (3b) and Li<sub>2</sub> $\left[\text{Cu(fpoh}_{-3H})\right]_2$ <sup>2</sup>.  $H_2O$ ·C $H_2O$  (2a)

			2a	
	1	3b	Monomer A Monomer B	
$Cu(1)-N(1)$	1.945(2)	1.9042(2)	1.964(3)	1.969(3)
$Cu(1)-N(2)$	1.907(2)	1.8985(2)	1.910(3)	1.922(3)
$Cu(1) - N(3)$	1.909(2)	1.8882(2)	1.899(3)	1.900(3)
$Cu(1) - N(4)$	1.942(2)	1.9241(2)	1.939(3)	1.947(3)
$Cu(1)-O(6)$		2.3178(2)	2.534(2)	2.270(3)
$O(1)\cdots O(4)$	2.514(2)	2.487(4)	2.667(4)	2.623(4)
$O(1) - H \cdots O(4)$	1.54(4)	1.460(5)	1.75	1.64
$N(1)$ –Cu(1)– $N(4)$	96.14(7)	98.63(8)	98.87(1)	97.0(1)
$N(1)$ –Cu(1)– $N(2)$	82.38(7)	82.82(8)	80.5(1)	80.8(1)
$N(2)$ –Cu(1)– $N(3)$	98.54(7)	94.49(8)	96.0(1)	96.0(1)
$N(3)$ –Cu(1)– $N(4)$	83.01(6)	82.85(8)	82.9(1)	82.1(1)
$N(3)$ –Cu(1)– $N(1)$	178.74(7)	171.68(7)	172.6(1)	160.5(1)
$N(2)$ –Cu(1)– $N(4)$	174.98(7)	171.26(7)	165.5(1)	167.8(1)

The electrochemical properties of complexes 1 and 2b were investigated by cyclic voltammetry in acetonitrile and methanol solution (Fig. 2, Table 2). Observed values of the formal  $Cu^{3+/2+}$ redox potentials demonstrate quite efficient thermodynamic stabilization of the trivalent state in both mononuclear complexes. However, comparison of the cyclic voltammograms of 1, 2a and 2b reveals that the formal  $Cu^{3+/2+}$  redox potential shifts dramatically upon substitution of the two deprotonated amide donors by the two deprotonated hydrazide groups. 1 and 2b revealed electrochemically quasi-reversible oxidation behaviour with  $E_{1/2}$  values of 485 and 187 mV in methanol or 354 and 245 mV in acetonitrile, respectively (values vs. Ag/AgCl). This effect can be ascribed to the significantly stronger  $\sigma$ -donor properties of the nitrogen donor atoms of the deprotonated hydrazide groups. The significant difference in the  $E_{1/2}$  values of 1



Fig. 2 Cyclic voltammograms of  $PPh_4[Cu(PAP_{-3H})]+4.5H_2O$  (1) and  $PPh_4[Cu(\text{fpoh}_{-3H})] \cdot H_2O$  (2b) (conditions as indicated in Table 2).

Table 2 Redox characteristics of the mono- and tetranuclear complexes in methanol solutions at a C-foil electrode, 293 K, sweep rate 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, vs. Ag/AgCl ([1], [2a] and [2b] = 3  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> M, [4] and [5] =  $2 \times 10^{-3}$  M)

Compounds	$\Lambda$ <i>E</i> /V	$i_a/i_c$	$E_{\rm f}/V$
$PPh_4[Cu(PAP_{-3H})](1)$	0.089	1.0	0.485
	$0.078^a$	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	$0.354^{\circ}$
$Li_2$ [Cu(fpoh <sub>-3H</sub> )] <sub>2</sub> (2a)	0.375	0.85	0.242
$PPh_4[Cu(fpoh_{-3H})](2b)$	0.112	0.9	0.187
	$0.210^a$	0.9 <sup>a</sup>	$0.245^{\circ}$
$[\{Cu(phen)Cu(PAP_{-4H})(H_2O)_2\}_2]$ (4)	0.088	1.0	0.613
$[\{Cu(dipy)Cu(fpoh_{-4H})\}_2]$ (5)	0.083	1.0	0.246
Ferrocene	0.078	1.0	0.410
	$0.081^a$	1.0 <sup>a</sup>	$0.441^{\circ}$
" In acetonitrile.			



Fig. 3 Cyclic voltammograms of  $[\{Cu(dipy)Cu(PAP_{-4H})(H_2O)_2\}](4)$ and  $[\{Cu(dipy)Cu(fpoh_{-4H})\}_2]$ <sup>5H<sub>2</sub>O (5) at a C-foil electrode in methanol</sup> at a scan sweep of 100 mV s<sup>-1</sup>, vs. Ag/AgCl ([4] and [5] = 2  $\times$  10<sup>-3</sup> M).

and 2b clearly indicates that stabilization of the  $+3$  oxidation state is much more efficient in the case of the hydrazide-containing system. One can conclude that the copper(III) ion is better accommodated by the small-size ring of the triply-deprotonated tetradentate ligand fpoh, obtained by template reaction and containing the 14-membered pseudo-macrocyclic ring.

In the solid state, the complex anions of 2a appear to be dimerized *via* the bridging functions of the oxime group of one complex anion and the ether oxygen of the second. As a result, heterodimeric molecules are formed in the crystal. Note, that the dimeric species 2a are not stable in solution and are disaggregated to the mononuclear anionic species  $\left[\text{Cu}(\text{fpoh}_{-3H})\right]^{-}$  which is confirmed by ESI mass spectrometry and DPP experiments, the latter proving that the complex undergoes only monoelectronic oxidation, which is consistent with the presence of only mononuclear species.

The bridging oxime proton in mononuclear anionic oximate complexes can be easily substituted by a metal ion of a suitable ionic radius, thus giving rise to bi- or polynuclear species with double *cis*-oximate bridges.<sup>11,15</sup> Indeed, interaction of the mononuclear anionic complexes **2a,b** with cationic species  $\left[\text{Cu}(L)(H_2O)_4\right]^{2+}$  (where L is a bidentate amine ligand used in order to block two sites in the coordination sphere of the copper(II) ion) results in substitution of the bridging oxime proton. The formed binuclear species undergo spontaneous dimerization due to the ability of the oxime-O to act as a  $\mu_3$ -(out-of-plane) bridging function and the presence of potentially vacant sites in the coordination sphere of the second copper ion. As a result, tetranuclear neutral complexes are formed, a series of which was investigated by X-ray analyses. Cyclovoltammetric studies of two tetranuclear complexes  $[\{Cu(dipy)Cu(PAP_{-4H})(H_2O)_2\}_2]$  (4) and  $[\{Cu(dipy)Cu(fpoh_{-4H})\}_2]$  (5) in methanol revealed effects similar to those observed for their mononuclear precursors (Table 2). The  $\pi$ -acceptor nature of the dipy ligands can result in some increase of the redox potentials (cyclic voltammograms contain only one oxidation wave corresponding to a quasireversible oxidation). However, in the present case the difference in the values of the redox potentials of the amide- and hydrazide-containing complexes (4 vs. 5) is  $0.367$  V (Fig. 3), *i.e.* even more pronounced than in the case of 1 and 2a,b.

It should be also noted that the values of the formal  $Cu^{3+/2+}$ redox potentials undergo noticeable anodic shifts in comparison to the corresponding mononuclear complexes, which comes from the different overall charge, i.e. the effect of electrostatic destabilization of the cationic species that are formed as a result of electrochemical oxidation (Table 2). The electrochemical studies of the tetranuclear complexes thus confirm the efficient thermodynamic stabilization of copper(III) in a metallomacrocyclic surrounding, consisting of {2N(oxime), 2N(amide)} and {2N(oxime), 2N(hydrazide)} donor sets.

This work was performed within the COST action D21 and was partially supported by a grant from the Polish State Committee for Scientific Research and Wroclaw Medical University. I.O.F. is grateful to the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (grant GZ:436 UKR) for financial support. E.G.K. thanks the European Community for the Marie Curie Re-Integration Grant (MERG-CT-2005-029137).

## Notes and references

 $\ddagger$  Crystal data: 1. C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>42</sub>CuN<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8.5</sub>P,  $M = 725.22$ , triclinic, space group P<sup>1</sup>,  $Z = 2$ ,  $a = 11.2410(6)$ ,  $b = 12.6521(7)$ ,  $c = 13.0200(7)$  Å,  $V = 1688.56(16)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu(Mo-K\alpha) = 0.752$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $T = 190(2)$  K, of a total of 9960 reflections collected, 9960 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.0000$ ), final  $R1 = 0.0414$  [ $I >$  $2\sigma(I)$ ] and wR2 = 0.1078 (all data). 2a. C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>Li<sub>2</sub>N<sub>12</sub>O<sub>12</sub>, M = 759.51, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $a = 15.0247(6)$ ,  $b = 11.6299(6)$ ,  $c = 18.2082(8)$   $\text{\AA}$ ,  $V = 3031.5(2)$   $\text{\AA}^3$ ,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 1.481 mm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 120(2) K, of a total of 18356 reflections collected, 5717 were independent ( $R_{\text{int}} =$ 0.0597), final  $R1 = 0.0422$  [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] and w $R2 = 0.1071$  (all data). 3b.  $C_{11}H_{19}CuN_6O_6S$ ,  $M = 426.92$ , triclinic, space group  $P\overline{1}$ ,  $Z = 2$ ,  $a =$ 8.144(2),  $b = 9.362(2)$ ,  $c = 11.394(2)$  Å,  $\hat{V} = 807.3(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) =  $1.527$  mm<sup>-1</sup>,  $T = 190(2)$  K, of a total of 6554 reflections collected, 3596 were independent ( $R_{\text{int}} = 0.0548$ ), final  $R1 = 0.0328$  [ $I > 2\sigma(I)$ ] and wR2 = 0.0843 (all data). 5.  $C_{19}H_{30}Cu_2N_8O_{10}$ ,  $M = 657.59$ , monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/c$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $a = 12.8590(13)$ ,  $b = 7.0434(8)$ ,  $c = 27.665(2)$   $\AA$ ,  $V =$  $2500.7(4)$   $\AA^3$ ,  $\mu$ (Mo-K $\alpha$ ) = 1.772 mm<sup>-1</sup>, T = 293(2) K, of a total of 11475 reflections collected, 5490 were independent ( $R_{int} = 0.0424$ ), final  $R1 =$ 0.0534  $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$  and wR2 = 0.1489 (all data). CCDC 610646–610649. For crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/ b608236j

- 1 A. L. Gavrilova and B. Bosnich, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 349–383.
- 2 L. M. Mirica, X. Ottenwaelder and T. D. P. Stach, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1013–1045.
- 3 E. A. Lewis and W. B. Tolman, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1047–1076.
- 4 E. Kim, E. E. Chufa'n, K. Kamaraj and K. D. Karlin, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 1077–1133.
- 5 R. Mukherjee, in Comprehensive Coordination Chemistry II, ed. J. A McCleverty and T. J Meyer, Elsevier Pergamon, Amsterdam, 2004, vol. 6, pp. 747–910.
- 6 I. O. Fritsky, H. Kozłowski, P. J. Sadler, O. P. Yefetova, J. Świątek-Kozłowska, V. A. Kalibabchuk and T. Glowiak, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 3269–3274.
- 7 G. R. Clark, B. W. Skelton and T. N. Waters, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1972, 1163; J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1976, 1528.
- 8 J. Oliver and T. N. Waters, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1982, 1111–1112.
- 9 G. Nilsson, Acta Chem. Scand., 1950, 4, 205–206.
- 10 J. Hanss, A. Beckmann and H.-J. Kruger, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem., 1999, 163–172.
- 11 O. M. Kanderal, H. Kozłowski, A. Dobosz, J. Świątek-Kozłowska, F. Meyer and I. O. Fritsky, Dalton Trans., 2005, 1428–1437.
- 12 S. S. Batsanov, Zh. Neorg. Khim., 1991, 36, 3015–3037.
- 13 P. J. H. A. M. van de Leemput, J. Willemse and J. A. Cras, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 1995, 95, 53.
- 14 L. I. Victoriano, H. B. Cortes, M. I. S. Yuseff and L. C. Fuentealba, J. Coord. Chem., 1996, 39, 241–251.
- 15 (a) C. O. Onindo, T. Yu. Sliva, T. Kowalik-Jankowska, I. O. Fritsky, P. Buglyo, L. D. Pettit, H. Kozłowski and T. Kiss, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1995, 3911–3915; (b) T. Yu Sliva, A. N. Duda, T. Glowiak, I. O. Fritsky, V. M. Amirkhanov, A. A. Mokhir and H. Kozłowski, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1997, 273–276; (c) T. Yu. Sliva, A. Dobosz, L. Jerzykiewicz, A. Karaczyn, A. M. Moreeuw, J. Swiatek-Kozłowska, T. Głowiak and H. Kozłowski, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans., 1998, 1863–1868.